App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1927-28 (Inwd) **File No.** 356-27

Serial Nos. 1-8

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for ~~January~~ Feb 29

SUBJECT

Lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmi Devi Temple at Bagangere Village, Magadi

---

To Inwd. Cents

No 1505 Dt 31st January 29

Communicates the decision of Govt that if the archak is agreeable to pay among other things, a nazr to the respondents in the said amount the inam lands may be left in their

Date 2/2/29

1578/29

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1927.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 358-27

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Bagangere village Magadi Taluk.

FROM

Archak Honnappa, Son of Annayappa.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated Received 12. 6. 28.

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.
1654			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the M.C's order re the sale of the lease of the said inam lands, and prays that pending disposal of the appeal, further proceedings in connection with the sale may be stayed.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petition was presented to the Member. Member's order :— Records may be called for. No stay order need be issued. Submit.

AV. 13.6.28

14.6.28

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Judy File No. 356 of 1927

2

Serial No.	
2	To the Mag. Coml. No 2381 Dated 16.6.1928. Calls for the report with records.
3	To Mr A.R. Nageswara Ay., B.A., No 2382 Dated 16.6.28 Informs that a report has been called for in the matter and no objection can be given.
4	From, The Inspl Commissioner, Letter no. 1669 Dy 29.8.1928. Submits the report with records called for in Sl 2. The Mr's order appealed against goes fully into the facts of the case. Dft. calling for an extract from the detailed inam register pertaining to the inam lands of the temple is submitted for approval and orders. 27.8.28 27/8
5	To. Supt, Rev Survey Dept No 518 Dy Calls for Extract for the detailed inam register pertaining to Sendamangalam

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27.4.99

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 356 of 1927.

Serial No.

From, the Supdt, Rev Survey
Letter no. 71 Dt 2.10.28
Submits a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the temple lands.
The Dr c's Order explains the facts of the case.
Govt's Solicitor's opinion is sought as to whether this appeal may be posted for hearing along with the next batch of cases.
Submit
AV
18.10.

A

9 The appellant's counsel may be heard

10
15/10

11 A
18.10.28

12
Dr witness Submit.
AV
27-11-28

13.

OFFICE OF THE ... BOARD
16 OCT. 1928
No. 2469

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
18 OCT. 1928

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18 4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 356 of 1927

Serial No.

14 Parties present. The appellant asks for an adjournment. Notices are stated to have been sent on 27th. letter. To be reported to 2/1st. Parties informed of this date and no fresh notices need issue. (Explanation for not taking prompt action for serving notices to be called for. — sms 7-1-29

15 Dr. Hukum calling for the miscdaneous explns, etc submitted. 7/1/29.

16 7/1/29

*WD 01714—GPB—30,000—16-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 356 of 1927.

Serial No.

4344/21.1.

17 This case was heard on 21st January 1929. The Appellant was present and was represented by Mr. T.S.Ramaswamy Iyer, and ~~the~~ two Respondents were also present ~~in person.~~

of 12

O r d e r.

18 This temple is a minor Muzrai institution and the members of the Appellant's family have long been its Archaks. The building of the temple is reported to be ~~xxdx~~ in disrepair as the Archak has not paid ~~attention to keep it~~ ~~in a~~ proper ~~condition.~~ attention to it. The Archak is now paying a reserve of only Rs 10 per annum which is not sufficient for carrying out the necessary periodical repairs and which also represents only a very small share of the annual income from the inam lands endoved to the temple and in the enjoyment of its Archaks. The lands have, in these circumstances, been leased out for a period of five years and it is proposed to remunerate the Archak by making a cash payment to him every month and this arrangement has been approved by the Muzrai Commissioner. The present appeal is a representation against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner. In their application dated 18th March 1923 the Dharmadarsis of the temple and others have

NOTE.—Contn sheet

represented

represented that the lands might be left in the possession of the Archak.in order that the services therein may continue to be performed regularly. The institution being a minor one it is desirable to leave its inam lands in the enjoyment of its Archak subject to the necessary safeguards for protecting the interests of the temple. The reserve now paid by the Archak is ~~quite~~ inadequate and a larger sum can be spared from the annual income of the lands until ~~the~~ an amount sufficient for carrying out all repairs is accumulated. The amount to ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ be contributed yearly on account of the reserve may, for the next ~~six~~ ten years, be fixed at Rs 50/-. If the Archak is agreeable to pay this amount and also interest at 9 per cent. to the Respondents on the sale amount deposited by them, the inam lands may be left in his possession subject to the condition that all the services in the temple are properly performed by him.

NOTE—Contn sheet

W D 0321—GPB—60,000—21 5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 356 of 192 .

Serial No.

To the H. C., Memo no. 1505 Dy 31.1.19
Communicate the decision of
Govt that if the Simple Mechanic
is agreeable to pay among other
things, interest @ 9% to the
Respdts on the sale amounts
deposited by them, the Main Lands
may be left in their possession.

to the respts
2) DD. each, Submit for
appl

AE
14.2.19

22

14/2

14/2

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

2 JUNE 1928
No. M. 471.



12 JUNE 1928
No. 17792

Before the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Muzrai appeal of 27 - 28.

Honnappa, son of Annayyappa,
Archak, Lakshmidevaru Temple,
Bananagere, Tippasandra Hobli.
Magadi Taluk. .. Appellant.

****

Appeal against the order of the Revenue Commissioner in Ap. No. 16 of 27-28, confirming the order of the sale of the right to take the produce of the lands attached to the Archak's office of the Lakshmidevaru Temple, Bananagere, Magadi Taluk.

1. The appellant is the heriditary archak of Lakshmidevaru Temple in Bananagere village, Magadi Taluk. The petitioner's ancestors have been officiating as Archaks of the above said temple for more than five generations. Attached to this office are a few lands, Survey Nos. 12, 75 and 132 in the same village, the income from which has been enjoyed by the archak from time immemorial. The aforesaid temple is a minor institution.

2. The Sub Division Officer of Closepet propsed to deprive the appellant of the lands by fixing a cash grant and after obtaining permission directed the sale of the right to take the produce

of these lands for five years commencing from the Revenue year 1927-28. The appellant came to know of the same and submitted a petition to the Deputy Commissioner of - Bangalore District praying that the order of Sub Division Officer may be set aside, and the appellant be allowed to take the produce of the lands as usual, and further prayed for the stay of sale pending disposal of his petition to him.

3. In the meanwhile, the sale which was originally fixed to take place on the 15th June 1927 took place on the 17th June, and the Deputy Commissioner without even giving an opportunity to the appellant to state his case before him confirmed the sale and ordered that a scale of expenditure may be obtained, and submitted for sanction and that an endorsement may be issued to the archak accordingly. The appeal against it was dismissed by the - Revenue Commissioner.

4. The appellant submits that income of the lands which average about 5 kandagas of ragi and paddy together per year is hardly sufficient to meet the annual requirements of the appellant's family. The petitioner has to pay a quit rent of Rs. 13/- on these lands and meet the expenses of the Puja etc. in the temple. He has also agreed to pay Rs. 10/-

per year towards a reserve fund for the temple and has given a Mutchilika to that effect. If these facts are borne in mind, the arrangement now sought to be brought into effect can hardly be regarded as equitable. The appellant will be deprived of the lands which has been in his family for many generations past, and the cash grant which is proposed to be given to him will hardly suffice to maintain his family. He has moreover no other occupation in life by means of which he can supplement the income from these lands. The majority of the villagers regard the present proposal as inequitable and unjust. The views of the Dharmadarsis now appointed by government may also be ascertained in this matter.

5. The arrangement now sought to be brought into effect is also opposed to the spirit of the Government Order No. 2954-602 Muz. 366-18-2 of 25th February 1919, and Government Order No. 2101/ Muz 187-22-2 of 18th January 1923. The earlier of the two orders distinctly lays down the policy which is to govern the treatment of inam lands which are in the possession of temple servants, and which they enjoy as remuneration either wholly or in part for service which they render to the temple. The Government Order says that in the case of minor Muzrai institutions, the lands should invariably be left in the possession of the temple servants subject to payment of a reasonable amount every year towards reserve fund constituted for the purpose of meeting the cost of repairs. The later Government Order

while affirming the policy laid down for the guidance of officers in regard to minor institutions has also extended the principle to major institutions where the inam lands have been long in the enjoyment of the temple servants. The institution of which the appellant happens to be an archak is a minor Muzrai institution. If due regard be had to the fact that the appellant is quite willing to pay a reasonable amount towards a reserve fund consistent with the income from the lands, the present arrangement under which the cultivating rights are to be sold is hardly consistent with the Government Orders adverted to above.

6. The order passed by the Deputy - Commissioner is arbitrary and illegal. Even the appellart's Counsel was not heard by the - Deputy Commissioner before an order adverse to him was passed.

7 The Learned Revenue Commissioner's order works a lot of injustice to the party. The Revenue Commissioner himself concedes the lands cannot be expected to fetch the same every year and that this year's income may be the result of competition. His estimate of the lands is very high. He has not taken note of the fact that according to the Mahazar prepared by the local officers the estimate of the yield was only Rs 108-4-0. The yield

was only four and three fourths kandies.

8. Such being the case, the demand of Rs 75/- was not quite fair and just and was very exorbitant The appellant is even now prepared to contribute a reasonable amount for the reserve fund.

9. The appellant has been in possession of the land as he obtained a stay order from the Revenue Commissioner. He has carted manure at a high cost and has arranged for the cultivation of the lands. The appellant will sustain irrepairable loss and hardship if further proceedings are not stayed now. The appellant therefore prays that further proceedings may kindly be stayed pending disposal of the petition.

10. The appellant therefore prays that the order of the Revenue Commissioner may kindly be set aside and that appellant be allowed to retain possession of the inam lands subject to the payment of a reasonable amount towards the reserve fund.

11. The appellant further prays that pending decision of this appeal, further proceedings may kindly be stayed in connection with the sale.

Bangalore,
12 June 28.







Order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:

Appeal petition (no 16 of 27-28 dated the 21st Nov of Honnappa of Baganagere village Magadi taluk against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District confirming the sale of the lease of the lands endowed to Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at the place for a period of 5 years prejudicial to the interests of the appellant Archak.

Appellant:- Honnappa Archak Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Baganagere village Magadi taluk

Respondents. (1) Dase Gowda, (2) Hutchanna, (3) Odo-dingiah } all of the same village and another purchasers of the lease right.

Facts of the Case.

The above temple is a Muzrai Institution endowed with the Marginally noted Inam lands. In December 1925 the villagers of Baganagere Complains. to the Sub-Division officer that through the negligence of the Archak who enjoyed the Inam lands their village temple of Sri Lakshmi Devi was in a dilapidated condition and prayed for the lease of the Inam Lands and the repair of the temple from the income thereby and the appointment of Dharmadharsis for Supervising the affairs of the Inst

S.No. 12. Dry. 6-6. 8-8. 0
75 " 5-12. 7-40
Wet 1-16. 6-12. 0
132 " 1-32 10-0
Total 14-26 32 8 0
Jodi Rs 13/-

Amildar when the Archak was questioned he stated on 9-8-26 that his father had died Six Months back that the roof of the temple was leaky and the side wall damaged, that he attended to the annual maintainence work, that the other repairs had to be done collectively by the villagers, that the inam lands were in the possession of the family for the last 3 or 4 generations That he got therefrom every year only 50 Kolagas of Ragi and 2 Candies of Paddy which he utilised for Padithara, Deeparadhane expenses and that in addition he paid the annual jodi on the inam lands On the other hand the villagers denied all responsibility in the matter of repairs to the temple In reporting this circumstance the Amildar said that the inam lands may yield Rs 50/- a year if leased out and that it was desirable to do so and also appoint a set of Dharma-Dharsis. The Sub Dn Officer supported the Amildars recommendation and the Deputy Commissioner accordingly sanctioned the appointment of 5 Dharmadharsis and directed the lease of the inam lands for a period of 5 years. The lease of the lands was sanctioned on the 15th June 1927 and the respondents were the highest bidders the amount realised being Rs 226/- exclusive of payment of jodi on the lands just prior to the sale the appellant approached the Dt Commr representing that the steps taken to ease the inam lands in

his oversion were due to the instigation of Boove Rudre Gowda who bore ill-will towards him and that the majority of the villagers a even the present Dharmadharsis were not in favour of this Course Report having been called for on this from the Sub Division officer, the Sale records were received with the S.D.O's opinion that the sale may be Confirm as it facilitated the framing of a Scale and accumulation of funds for the needed repairs of the institution Since the Small Archak was not of much avail the Sale was confirmed by the D.C. in his order of 12th Nov. 1927 Calling for a Scale of income an expenditure for the institution. The present appeal is against this order. The appellant with his Counsel and the respondents who were present were heard on 20th March 1928 On sending up the records the amildar says in his report dated 28-12-1927 that the lands may fetch a higher lease if resold.

Order No. R Dis 245/27-8. Dated 10-5-28

The lands endowed to the institution Consisting of 3 Acres and 8 Guntas of wet land and 11 acres and 18 guntas of dry land are very favourable valuable and if sold in auction are likely to fetch a good price nearly four or five thousand Rupees. The System of allowing the archaks to enjoy the inams and defray

the expenses of worship and repairing. The institution seldom works satisfactorily and in this case has resulted in gross neglect of the institution. The lease of the lands has been sold for Rs 225/- and taking Rs 120 as reasonable remuneration for the archak for his service and about Rs 30/- for other expenses I told the archak that I would allow him to retain the lands if he bound himself to pay Rs 75/- annually for the repairs &c. of the temple and this he was not prepared to do. I think the steps taken by the D.C. are the best in the interests of the institution. I see no reason to interfere. Though there is an advantage in attaching inams to temples yet where owing to local causes lands have risen considerably in value and it is more profitable to dispose of the lands outright and invest the amount realised. I think it desirable to see that if this means cannot be adopted to get better income for the temple. At present there is keen party-feeling in the village and there may not be the same interest shown in offering a high rent. The Deputy-Commissioner is requested to see what is the highest amount the lands are likely to realise if sold outright and if a minimum price of Rs 5000/- can be

Secured for the lands it is better to sell the lands and invest the money. This is an arrangement that may be made with regard to the future.

Sd) G. D Ouza
Mungrai Commissioner

Copy applied for 5-6-28
Copy ready. 6-6-28
Copy delivered 6-6-28

No Words 1010
Copying fee 1-6-0
Comparing fee 0-5-6
paper cost- 0-0-6
Compared by Venkatramaiah

Copy
6/6/28

Before the Government of Mysore

~~In the Chief Court of Mysore.~~

Misc. A. No. OF 1927-1928.

A Appa	Vs.	
Hanumappa		

I the A Appa above named, do hereby nominate and appoint Mr. B. Ramachandra Rao Bar-at-Law. Mr. A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L., ADVOCATE, in the above suit and do hereby empower the said ADVOCATE to appear for and on my behalf in the above suit, to take all steps which may be necessary on my behalf arising out of or in connection with the above suit either during progress or after its decision, to sign and subscribe their names to any document which they may deem proper, to excute any decree that may be passed in my favour and to receive out of the Court any refund of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on my behalf and on receipt thereof to grant receipt or other sufficient discharges for the same, to withdraw, compromise or refer to arbitration the said suit, to appoint one or more substitutes and to act and perform all other matters and things relating thereto or connected therewith, to represent me in matters relating to the same and to act as fully and effectually to all intenst and purposes as I might do if personally present and did the same. And I do hereby agree to pay all fees, costs, charges and expenses which may be incurred and to allow, ratify, and confirm whatsoever the said ADVOCATE shall lawfully do or cause or procure to be done by the virtue of these presents.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this 11th day of June 1928.

ACCEPTED

M. Nagesvara Iyer
T. Ramachandra
Adv
11 6 28

A. Appa

Advocate for A Appa

Signed before me by the executant who is known to me.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore. 19

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Muzrai).

Official Memorandum.

No. 3381/Muz 356-27-3 Bangalore, Dated 16th June 1928.

The accompanying Petition Registered No.1654 dated nil received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Archak Honnappa appealing against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner re sale of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmidevi temple at Pagangere village, Magadi Taluk, is forwarded, together with its enclosures, with compliments, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, for report with records.

By Order,

(Sd/-). T.K.Someswara Iver

For Secretary to Government

Financial Department.

No. 1669 / 27-8

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore, Dated the 20th June 1928.

2184

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE 0980 23/6

Memo.

Copy of the above/is forwarded with compliments to the together with its enclosures Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, for favour of an early report.

The petition and its enclosures should be returned.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

3365/A

Forwarded in Original to the Dy Commr Office, Bangalore for submission of entire Records of case. The appeal petition & its enclosures sent herewith should be returned along with his Report

23/6/28

A3-17/28-29
One file
PRS Forwarded to the Amildar of Magadi
Appeal petn
memo
M.E.
107

Taluk for immediate submission of the entire connected records.

The appeal petition with its enclosures should be returned.

S.D.O

27.7.28

Amildar
Submit the records at once
Regd 11/7/28. Jr. No. 107

25-26 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಕಂದಾಯ ವಸೂಲಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಮಾಗಡಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ರಸೀದಿ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ A3-17 1376/26-27 ದಿನಾಂಕ 27.7.28 A3

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ವಂದನೆ

Amildar

A3-17/28-29
One file Appeal petn with enclosures
Memo
No 24/28

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District with reference to his No C3-5-5-80/27-28 dated 25.6.28

The connected records are herewith as desired.

Sub Dy officer

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
33 3
AUG 2 1928
BANGALORE

C3

27-7-28

A. & F. VI. 61]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2065

Section Inwd,

File No. 356-27

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Inwd Clerk

Sir,

Date of issue 16/6/28

Signed by Mr. C.K. Cameron

Dy.

I am directed to forward herewith for report with records, petition no. 1654 from Kuchak Honnappa appealing against your order re the sale & the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Kuguve village, Anegundi Taluk & Range.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

for [illegible]

Asst. Secretary.

Over

2765 Mis. 356-27-3. No. 238 of 16-6-28

With ref. to his petition presented on behalf of Archak Honnappa appealing against the D.C's order re the sale of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmidevi temple, at Basangere Village, Nagadi Taluk, Mr. A. R. Nageswara Iyer, Advt., is informed that a report has been called for in the matter, and that no stay order can be issued as prayed for by him

B.O

16/6

Dy. Judicial Secy.

14:6-28

M. C. 18]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....22nd August.....192 8.

24

No. 1669 Exam 27-8 2 files





I. C. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

327
Muz. 356-27-4

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to your C.M.No.2681/Muz.356-27-2 dated 10th June - 1928 forwarding for report with records petition from Archak ----- Honnappa appealing against this office order in re the sale of the lease of inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmidevi temple at Bagangere village in the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the connected file received with the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner letter No.C.3-5580 of 27-28 dated 16th August 1928 (copy of which is herein enclosed).

This office file No.D$_1$ Dis.245 of 27-28 dated 10th May 1928--- containing the order appealed against the preamble of which gives the facts of the case is also enclosed for reference and kind return.

The appeal petition together with its enclosures received with your ~~C.M.~~ official memorandum under reference is also herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.A-3-5580/27-28 dated 16th August 1928 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - -

With reference to your Memo No.1069/27-28 dated 21st June 1928 calling for submission of original records relating to the sale of the lease of inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmidevi temple at Bagganagere - village in the Magadi Talu, I have the honor to submit herewith the file on the subject received with the Closeject Sub-Division Officer's - Memo No.A-3-17/28-29 dated 27th July 1928.

The appeal petition and other enclosures received with your -- letter under reply are herewith retransmitted.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

KPS

VI. G1]

.....

.....

.....

Section Inm

File No. 356

Serial No. 5 1927

No. 512

Diary No. of letter replied to 327

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Supdt. Rev. Survey & Settlements

Date of issue 31/8/28

Signed by K. Somasundaram

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for Reference an extract from the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of the marginally-noted Land as Inam for the up-keep of Sri Lakshmi devi temple at Bagangere Village in the Nagadi Taluk.

over

Yours obdt. Servt.

a of 6-6-0 8-8-0
5 12 7-4-0
1-16 6-12-0
1-32 10-0-0
14-26 32-8-0
Jodi 13/

Sec 12 dry 75 " wet. 132 " total.

List of enclosures....

Copied by

Examined by

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

No. 1
Encl one

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore...1st...October...1928.

REV SECRETARIAT. 3 OCT 1928 MUZRAI 253

FROM D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 2 OCT. 1928 No.

To B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR, As desired in your letter No.S-8/Muz.306-27-5 dated 3-9-28, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Sri Lakshmidevi Temple at Baganigere village, Magadi Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner
for Superintendent
absent from station.

Register Sheet No 128

Taluk - Magadi

Hobli - Tippasandra

village - Bagamigere

Register of Inams in the village of

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
	Devadaya	Dry nos 164+10,3	H. Stul act II of 1841 K. C. P 0 - 8 - 0	
		Wet nos 164+211	0 - 8 - 0	
			0 - 16 - 0	

Sammat of in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
Dry - 2-4-0 6-15-9 Wet 1-6-0 4-10-6 4-0-0 11-10-3.	/	For the support of Lakshmi devi's temple in this village which is in good order and kept neatly daily	Jodi R. A. P P. 2. 0 2-5-0 - 7-4-4 Paid from the year 1841.

Benoi

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
		H. Stuart a/c th of 1841 Lutchmi devi Dry 0-8-0 2-4-0 Wet 0-8-0 1-6-0 0-16-0 4-0-0 Jody — 2-5-0 Jary 1-5-0 Cohen and Ley whom granted not known.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Bagnigere Lutchmi Devi Manager trustee	—	—	—

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

2 whole grant allowable being borne out by the H. Stal account which has been adopted

3. Exact object not known

4. To be confirmed on ½ quit rent Rs 2-2-11 plus jody 7-4-4 in all Rs 9-8-0 under Rule V (T.D. Compulsory

(Sd) Syed Nasaruddin
Assistant
2.5.76.

1. Whole grant - Tendaya Stall.

2. Confirmed on a combined quit rent of Rs 9-8-0.

(Sd) R. A. Cole
Supdt of I S
24.10.76.

"Copy"
J Hanumanthe Gowd
for Superintendent

Compared
[illegible]
1.10.78.

(G) 33

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Misc Appeal No. 1 of 1928-9

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Anchata Honnappa Da Se Sinda and others.

Subject.

Appeal against the M.C's order directing the Pal. of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Basapura Village, Nagadi Taluk—

Order

The case was heard on 21st January 1929. The appt was present and was represented by Mr. D. S. [illegible]

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

who are auction purchasers
were also present.

Copy members minutes
in para 18 of the office
note from "Miss Temple
by him".

1505 - Sec 2
5 31

Form 356-27-7

Memo

Copy to the M.P.

Brit.

With the words received
with his letter no. 1669 of
24.8.28.

B.O.

DO) H.T. Hodg.

(G)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Huzrai Appeal No. 7 of 1928-29

Appellant.	*Vs*	Respondents
Archak Honnappa		Basegowda and others.

Subject.

Appeal against the Huzrai Commissioner's order directing th le of the lease of the Inam Lands endowed to Sri Lakshmidevi temp at Nagangere village, Nagady Taluk.

O r d e r .

The case was heard on 21st January 1929. The appellant was present and was represented by Mr. T. S. Ramaswamy Iyer and two of the respondents who are auction purchasers were also present.

This temple is a minor Huzrai institution and some members of the appellant's family have long been archakas. The building of the temple is reported to be in disrepair and the archak has not paid proper attention to it. The Taluk is now having a reserve of only Rs. 10 per annum which is not sufficient for the upkeep of the temple by periodical repairs and which can be regarded as only a token. It is the annual income from the inam lands endowed for the temple that is for the enjoyment of its archaks. The lands have, in the present case, been leased out for a period of five years and it is proposed that

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

the Archak by making a cash payment to him every month and this arrangement has been approved by the Muzrai Commissioner. The present appeal is a representation against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner. In their application dated 18th March 1926 the Dharmadarsis of the temple and others have represented that the lands might be left in the possession of the Archak, in order that the services therein may continue to be performed regularly. The institution being a minor one it is desirable to leave its Inam lands in the enjoyment of the Archak subject to the necessary safeguards for protecting the interests of the temple. The reserve now paid by the Archak is inadequate and a larger sum can be spared from the annual income of the lands until an amount sufficient for carrying out all repairs is accumulated. The amount to be contributed yearly on account of the reserve may, for the next ten years, be fixed at Rs. 50/-. If the Archak is agreeable to pay this amount and also interest at 9 per cent to the Respondents on the sale amount deposited by them, the Inam lands may be left in his possession, subject to the condition that all the services in the temple are properly performed by him.

Memo No. 1505 dated, Bangalore, the ~~30th~~ 31st January 1929
Muz 356-27

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore returning the records received with his letter No. 1669 dated 24th August 1928.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Appendix F G–1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Inam.

File No. 356–27

Serial No. 8

No. 1603

General and Revenue Secretariat

(.)

the *192*

To

.....

Date of issue 14/2/29

Signed by W. V. K. S. Rao

Dase Sowia and certain others of Bagangere village, Nagadi Taluk, respts in Inam Appeal No. 7 of 1928-9, relating to the inam lands of Lakshmi Devi temple as the place, are informed that orders have been issued directing that if the Archak of the temple is agreeable to pay a sum of Rs 527 for the next ten years ~~Timmaya others Nanjas~~ interest at 9% to the respts on the sale amount deposited by them

List of enclosures .....

Copied by..... Mr. Rs. a years each

Examined by.....

over

The cream Laws may be
left in ~~his~~ the possession of Mr Parker
B. O